

WILLIAMSON COUNTY PURCHASING DEPARTMENT 901 SOUTH AUSTIN AVE GEORGETOWN, TEXAS 78626

http://www.wilco-online.org/ebids/

CONSTRUCTION MANAGER-AT-RISK FOR WILLIAMSON COUNTY NORTH CAMPUS FACILITIES RFQ NUMBER: 15RFQ108

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

1. Can we use resumes, which don't count in page limitation, to address Tab A, Tab B, Tab C and Tab D since the RFQ specifically mentions that the experience of the individual is more important that the experience of the firm?

Response: The amount of text you write and the number of resumes you include are solely your decision.

2. Will the construction materials testing services be retained by the GC or will that be going through the County?

Response: Quality control testing is the responsibility of the contractor. The County will perform only quality assurance testing. Each entity will be responsible for retaining its own material testing services

3. I was wondering if you have any estimated construction start dates or construction timeline for the three RFQ's (North Campus, Sherriff's Training and Georgetown Annex)?

Response: We anticipate a spring or summer construction start date for each project.

4. Par 2.8 of Section2, Notice to Respondents requires a maximum of 10 projects for which a firm has provided/is providing CMAR in the last ten years in Texas which are similar or more complex. We have performed RFP's for bid/design/build which are fixed price contracts for the Corps of Engineers in Texas. They are not referred to as Construction Manager at Risk but perform similar results. Would this qualify under your Criteria for Qualification?

Response: These will not be viewed as CMAR projects but can be listed as experience if you do not have CMAR projects to list.

5. On page 6, item 3, regarding breakdown of staff, is this question only for the prime firm?

Response: The prime firm must provide this information. The subcontractors may provide this information.

6. You ask for an original and 6 hard copies each with a PDF file of the SOQ on USB flash drive. To clarify, are you asking that we submit seven (7) flash drives with our proposal?

Response: Seven hard bound documents and seven flash drives are to be submitted.

7. Do you consider a 3-ring binder as recyclable? Is it acceptable to use plastic comb binding?

7/6/2015

Per our phone call, I am sending the below clarifying questions about the *Questions and Answers* document in regard to RFQ 15RFQ108, 15RFQ109 and 15RFQ110.

8. Does the Affidavit of Section 176.006 count toward the 15-page limit? Response: No

The RFQ states (Section 3.2, C) **"Submittals should be limited to 50 pages".** Can you clarify what has a 15-page limit?

All sections combined other than forms, reference lists, and resumes.

9. You ask for an original and 6 hard copies each with a PDF file of the SOQ on USB flash drive. To clarify, are you asking that we submit seven (7) flash drives with our proposal? Response: Seven hard bound documents and seven flash drives are to be submitted.

There RFQ states (Section 3.2, F) "Also, submit one (1) thumb drive of your firm's complete Qualifications submittal." Can you clarify how many thumb drives we need to submit?

Response: A minimum of one thumb drive needs to be submitted.

10. Do you consider a 3-ring binder as recyclable? Is it acceptable to use plastic comb binding? Response: Either is acceptable.

There RFQ states (Section 3.2, C) "**The County requests that submittals be bound, but no 3-ring binders, please.**" Can you clarify if the proposals should be bound or in 3-ring binders?

Response: The county prefers 3 ring binders not be used due to the tendency for pages to come out of submittals during the shipping and review process. We will not reject a 3 ring binder submittal but would prefer they not be used.

11. Will the CMAR that's awarded the contract be responsible for obtaining the services of a materials testing firm?

Response: The CMAR is responsible for the QC and QA of their work. It is reasonable to assume they would need a testing firm to perform these tasks.